IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 11236 of 2004

Between:

P. Chandra Rao, S/o P. Appa Rao,
R/o Gonchala Village, Samalkot Mandal, East Godavari District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State of A.P. rep. by its Secretary, Endowments Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The State of A.P. rep. by its Commissioner, H.R & C.E. Tilak Road,

Hyderabad.
3 The Executive Engineer, Sri Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamy Vari Devasthanam, Annavaram, East Godavari District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in issuing the proceedings in Rc.No. C4/2372/G4, dated 3-6-2004 issued by the 3rd respondent and set aside the same as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the terms of original auction notification,

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.A.S.C.BOSE

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Ms.INDRANI, STANDING COUNSEL FOR TEMPLES

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.11236 OF 2004

ORDER:

Though interlocutory matters are listed, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the Writ Petition itself is heard and is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner was successful bidder in the auction conducted by third respondent for leasing out land of Acs.30.15 in S.Nos.234 and 236 of Thimmapuram Village, East Godavari District. When the respondents issued impugned notice dt.3.6.2004 calling upon him to deposit 1/3rd of auction amount and also give bank guarantee for Rs.3,00,000/- (Rupees three lakhs only), aggrieved by the same, petitioner filed the Writ Petition.

It is now brought to the notice of this Court by the learned counsel for petitioner and the learned counsel for third respondent that lease period of one year expired on 31.5.2005 and thereafter third respondent took possession of the land. In that view of the matter, nothing survives in the Writ Petition.

The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

15.7.2005

bnr

To

1 The Secretary, Endowments Department,

Government of Andhra Pradesh

Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Endowments,

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Tilak Road,

Hyderabad.
3 The Executive Engineer, Sri Veera Venkata Satyanarayana Swamy Vari Devasthanam, Annavaram, East Godavari District.

4 2 CCs to G.P. for Endowments, High Court Bldgs., Hyderabad (OUT)

5 2 CD copies